

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,977	09/08/2004	Yoshio Yoshida	TAKIT-0189	6913	
23599 7590 04/03/2007 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/506,977	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2004.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,5 and 8-13</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>2,3,6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>		(1)				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/9/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/506,977 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. The claim recites the limitation "the two components" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- B. The purpose of the "," after "and" in line 5 is not clear.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information

Art Unit: 1774

or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 8 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barcock et al. (US 6,502,935 B1).
- 5. Barcock discloses an ink jet recording material having a support, a lower pigment layer on the support and an upper pigment layer on the lower pigment layer (abstract). The upper layer is equivalent to the claimed high gloss cast coating layer. The support is a base paper, which has open pore structure (col. 3, line 49). The upper layer comprises a mixture of cationic silica particles having a particle size of 200-300nm, and alumina particles, wherein the ratio of the alumina to silica is from 4:1 to 1:1 (col. 2, line 61 thru col. 3, line 3). The upper layer further comprises a binder such as polyvinyl alcohol (col. 3, line 8), and a crosslinking agent (col. 3, line 24). After the layers are

Art Unit: 1774

applied, the coated paper is calendered in order to increase the smoothness. The ratio of particles to binder is 20:1 to 1:1 (col. 3, line 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barcock et al. (US 6,502,935 B1) as applied to claims 1, 5, 8 and 11-13, above, in further view of Ogawa et al. (US 5,750,200).
- 8. Ogawa teaches an ink jet recording sheet comprising a support, an ink receiving layer on the support and a gloss providing layer on the ink receiving layer (abstract). The gloss providing layer is equivalent to the claimed high gloss cast coating layer. The gloss providing layer is formed by press contacting the gloss-providing layer in a wet state a specular roll for specular finish (hereinafter referred to as cast treatment).
- 9. Barcock and Ogawa are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the ink jet recording medium and method of making the medium art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method of cast treatment of Ogawa with the invention of Barcock in order to further improve the gloss of the ink jet recording sheet (col. 14 lines 42-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/506,977

Art Unit: 1774

10. Claims 1, 4 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mukoyoshi et al. (US 6,242,082 B1) in view of Yasuda et al. (US 5,213,873).

Page 5

- 11. Mukoyoshi discloses an ink jet recording sheet comprising a support, at least one ink receiving layer on the support and a gloss layer on the ink receiving layer (abstract). The gloss layer is equivalent to the claimed high gloss cast coating, and the ink receiving layer is equivalent to the claimed under layer. The support comprises a paper having air permeability (col. 3, line 40). The gloss layer comprises a binder such as polyvinyl alcohol, and a pigment selected from at least silica and alumina, wherein the particle size of the silica is 10-400nm (col. 8, line 40 thru col. 9, line 20). Even though Mukoyoshi does not teach a combination of alumina and silica, it would be obvious to combine both alumina and silica so as to provide a layer having the same effect. In re Crockett, 126 USPQ 186, It is obvious to combine separately taught prior art ingredients which perform the same function; it is logical that they would produce the same effect and supplemental each other. The gloss layer is formed by a wet casting method in which the surface of the ink receiving layer is coated with a coating liquid containing a resin and a pigment; the coating liquid layer is brought, while the layer is kept in the wetted condition, into contact under pressure with a mirror-finished casting surface of a heated casting drum; the coating liquid layer is dried; and then the dried gloss layer is separated from the casting drum surface (col. 10, line 20). Mukoyoshi does not disclose ink receiving layer as recited in the claimed invention.
- 12. Yasuda teaches an ink jet recording sheet comprising a substrate and an image receiving layer on the substrate (abstract). The image receiving layer comprises a

Application/Control Number: 10/506,977

Art Unit: 1774

binder and silica particles having an oil absorption of 150ml/100g or more (col. 5, lines 5-8). The silica particles are mixed with ground calcium carbonate (col. 7, line 3). The amount of the binder in the image receiving layer is 15-40% by weight (col. 7, line 21). The particle size of the silica particles is preferably 4um or less (col. 6, line 19).

Page 6

13. Mukoyoshi and Yasuda are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the ink jet recording sheet art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the image receiving layer of Yasuda with the invention of Mukoyoshi inorder to improve the capacity of absorbing an aqueous ink at a high speed and in a large amount, and of forming ink images thereon at a high speed and at a high resolving power, without creating curling, undulations or waving therein, and also to improve the capacity of forming ink images having a high water resistance and storage durability without curling and undulations, and having an easy handling property (see col. 3, line 65 thru col. 4, line 8 of Yasuda).

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 2, 3, 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,977

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

Page 7

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BS

March 29, 2007.

BETELHEN SHEWAREGED
REINARY EXAMINER